

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/004,237		10/31/2001	Yong Kyun Cho	P-9957.00	2097		
27581	7590	07/26/2005		EXAMINER			
MEDTRO			SMITH, RUTH S				
710 MEDTI MS-LC340	RONIC PA	ARKWAY NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	OLIS, MN	N 55432-5604	3737				
			·	DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Comments	10/004,23	· ·	CHO ET AL.	•			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ruth S. Sr		3737				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with t	he correspondence addres	is			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. O) days, a reply within the state atutory period will apply and wiwill, by statute, cause the apple.	ent, however, may a reply story minimum of thirty (30 Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commu ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 28 June 2005.						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	·=							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•	·				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>2-6,8-13 and 15-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) <u>8-13,22 and 23</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2-6,15-21,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) 🗀	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) t	oe held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) i	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-1	52 .			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental documental Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Appl ents have been red e 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmen	it(e)							
Attachmen	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/M	lail Date´. mal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Art Unit: 3737

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 28, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claims 2,3,15-19,24,25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

It is unclear as to whether the predetermined threshold set forth in claim 2 is the same threshold set forth in claim 6. In claim 3, line 2, "fieldcomprises" should be "field comprises". It is unclear as to what further structural limitations have been set forth in claim 15. The claim appears to be redundant in view of the limitations set forth in claim 19. Furthermore, the use of the term "typical" is questioned. In claim 19, "said implantable medical device" lacks antecedent basis. Claim 17 is confusing in that line 17 refers to an implantable medical device. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 15-19,24,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification only discloses means for detecting a magnetic field strength of .2 Tesla and above. Therefore, it is unclear as to what means is used to detect a field strength of lower than .17 Tesla.

Claims 2-6,15-21,24,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification, as originally filed,

Art Unit: 3737

fails to disclose that sensing of cardiac activity will not occur in the presence of "relatively low-energy electromagnetic interference". The specification, as originally filed fails to disclose any means for detecting a field strength of lower than .17 Tesla.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-13,22,23 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 6, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As clearly seen in the preamble of claim 6, claim 6 sets forth that no sensing occurs when the interference signal is at a low level. The specification fails to disclose this limitation. The specification discloses that sensing still occurs in the presence of low level interference signals but switching does not occur.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4745. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR... Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Ruth S. Smith Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3737